

PRODUCT

Avionics Ground System

Transportation Avionics Ground System Nxp Imx

SiliconCentric | Product overview

SiliconCentric



Introduction

TRANSPORTATION AVIONICS GROUND SYSTEM NXP IMX

Introduction


Our client is an avionics ground-support equipment maker. Their product is a portable line-test set: a test set that misreads a discrete can strand a serviceable aircraft or clear an unserviceable one. They came to us mid-development — interface board schematics complete, first prototypes running, design freeze one iteration away. The board is a standalone NXP i.MX design whose internal Cortex-M7 serves as the companion microcontroller, generating and capturing the deterministic bus traffic that the Cortex-A user-interface side cannot be trusted to time. We collaborated with them on this project by providing independent verification and validation of the board.

Introduction

- Compute topology: a single i.MX package — Cortex-A core for the operator interface and test-management stack, internal Cortex-M7 for cycle-accurate ARINC-429 scheduling and discrete-I/O timing.
- Under validation: eight ARINC-429 channels (four transmit with line drivers, four receive), sixteen aircraft-convention discrete inputs (28 V/open and ground/open selectable), eight discrete outputs, an IRIG-B timing input, RS-422 x2, an isolated 28 V aircraft-power measurement channel, a galvanically isolated DC/DC power stage, eMMC, and a resistive-touch display.
- Program state: mid-development — prototypes on the bench, one layout iteration remaining before freeze.

Introduction

- Independence: no technical, managerial, or financial stake in the design; airline and MRO customers of the end product weight independent evidence heavily.
- Bounded scope: independent validation only — no test-set feature development, no firmware authoring, no out-of-scope engineering.
- Working inputs: the hardware design package — schematics, ICDs, BOM — with no access to firmware source.



2

Hardware Topology

TRANSPORTATION AVIONICS GROUND SYSTEM NXP IMX

Hardware Topology

The topology is a standalone SoC with the companion on-die: the M7 owns everything with a timing budget, the A-core owns everything with a screen. Scope enclosed the interface board, its buses, its isolation barriers, and its timing chain; the test-set application software and any airworthiness paperwork for target aircraft stayed outside.

- Topology classification: standalone SoC with internal Cortex-M7 companion; cores communicate over shared OCRAM with a mailbox, and the M7 exclusively owns the ARINC scheduler and discrete timing.

Hardware Topology

- The M7's job: ARINC-429 word scheduling at both 12.5 and 100 kbps rates, discrete edge timestamping, IRIG-B decode, and the deterministic behavior the product's measurements depend on.
- In-scope interfaces: ARINC-429 x8, discrete in x16 and out x8, IRIG-B, RS-422 x2, the isolated 28 V measurement channel, I2C (temperature, power telemetry), eMMC, display.
- Envelope in scope: -20°C to $+55^{\circ}\text{C}$ flight-line ambient, operation from both bench power and aircraft 28 V per MIL-STD-704-style quality, and the electrical noise environment of a live flight line.

Hardware Topology

- Out of scope: test-set application software, per-aircraft test procedures, and any certification sign-off — we produce the evidence; the client's assessor and their airline customers judge it.
- The i.MX family is among the six architectures Polaris already runs on, so the OS baseline was inherited and effort went to the board delta rather than silicon bring-up.



Problem Statement

TRANSPORTATION AVIONICS GROUND SYSTEM NXP IMX

Problem Statement

Prototypes worked in the lab, the application team was building UI against them, and one layout iteration remained — after which every hardware fault becomes a firmware workaround or a field problem. A test set is measurement equipment: an undetected hardware flaw does not just fail, it reports wrong results.

- Proven in isolation, never as a board: the ARINC line drivers and receivers carried vendor compliance data on vendor layouts — not with this board's isolated supply, its connector stack, its discrete-input dividers, or its IRIG-B front end sharing the same ground partition.

Problem Statement

- Firmware coverage is feature-shaped, not board-shaped: the application exercises the ARINC channels its test procedures use, but the ground/open discrete mode, the second RS-422 port, the full IRIG-B amplitude range, and the isolated measurement channel's fault behavior fell outside every feature.
- No stress, no extremes, no duration: no -20°C flight-line cold start, no $+55^{\circ}\text{C}$ soak, no multi-day endurance, no aircraft-power transient and brown-out campaign, no operation-under-noise characterization — the daily environment of ground-support equipment.

Problem Statement

- Nothing runs alongside development: validation was an end-phase gate, so a marginal receiver threshold would surface as intermittent test-set misreads in an MRO hangar — the kind of field report that ends an equipment brand's credibility.
- Airline procurement expects documented verification evidence for test equipment; ARINC-429 electrical conformance and timing accuracy claims must be backed by records, not asserted.
- The product measures other systems, so its own timing chain — IRIG-B in, M7 scheduling, timestamp out — must be proven to a tighter budget than anything it tests.
- One layout iteration remained: any fault found after freeze would be patched in firmware or lived with in the field.



4

Customer Requirements

TRANSPORTATION AVIONICS GROUND SYSTEM NXP IMX

Customer Requirements

The client's requirements were direct: validate the whole board, under stated limits, before the freeze, with evidence that survives airline technical evaluation.

- Operating temperature: the board shall operate from -20°C to $+55^{\circ}\text{C}$ flight-line ambient, including cold start at -20°C .
- Supply voltage: 28 V nominal aircraft power, steady-state window 22 V to 29 V per MIL-STD-704-style quality. The board shall survive transient spikes to 80 V for 50 ms without damage; over-voltage protection shall clamp above 32 V continuous.

Customer Requirements

- **Brown-out:** the board shall ride through input sag to 18 V and recover without operator intervention; below 18 V it shall shut down cleanly with no record loss.
- **Over-current:** the isolated DC/DC stage and each downstream rail shall have over-current protection tripping within 10 ms; a fault on the isolated measurement channel shall not propagate across the isolation barrier.
- **Humidity:** the unit shall operate at up to 95% RH non-condensing and shall not be exposed beyond that.

Customer Requirements

- Full-board functional validation: the A-core, the M7, all memory regions, all eight ARINC channels at both rates, all twenty-four discretes in both conventions, IRIG-B across its amplitude range, both RS-422 ports, the isolated measurement channel, eMMC, and the display — one integrated system.
- Coverage independent of firmware scope: every subsystem validated regardless of the application's test-procedure set, through a HAL built from the design package.
- Stress matrix: $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to $+55\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ sweep with cold-start cycling, 96-hour endurance under full bus traffic, aircraft-power transient and brown-out campaigns on the 28 V input, and injected-noise runs replicating flight-line conditions.

Customer Requirements

- Continuous validation alongside development: CI integration revalidating every firmware candidate on the prototypes through the freeze and beyond.
- Evidence: timestamped, operator-attributed, version-pinned records with bidirectional traceability, packaged for airline and MRO technical evaluations.
- A web console the client's verification staff run without embedded expertise, learned once.
- An immutable, append-only evidence store anchoring the freeze decision and the production baseline.

Customer Requirements

- Setup in minutes: a documented bench — board, bus simulator, programmable 28 V source, host — reproducible by any operator.



Architecture

TRANSPORTATION AVIONICS GROUND SYSTEM NXP IMX

Architecture

The interface board runs the validation OS, HAL, and client; an external x86 host runs the server, dashboard, and SDK. Execution stays isolated from data processing, and the board keeps its resources for representative behavior — necessary when the measurement under test is the board's own timing accuracy.

- Polaris OS (the validation OS) — a reproducible Linux image for the A-core booting to a known state, so prototype benches cannot drift apart.

Architecture

- HAL — the single board-specific layer, exercising every ARINC channel, all discretes in both conventions, IRIG-B decode, RS-422, the isolated measurement channel, and eMMC behind a uniform interface that keeps the avionics-GSE suites portable to the frozen layout.
- Client — the on-target agent driving tests through the HAL; gRPC on Linux, FlatBuffers to the M7's FreeRTOS image; three timestamps per event (origin, relay, server); light enough that the timing chain being measured stays undisturbed.
- Server — orchestration, scheduling, and the append-only records store on the host, three prototypes in parallel, dashboard and API hosted.

Architecture

- Dashboard — the console for the verification staff: provision a board, configure and launch, live per-channel ARINC word rates, discrete states, IRIG lock, rail telemetry, time-series review; every state-changing action operator-attributed.
- Python SDK — automation and CI; scripts everything the console does; C bindings served the timing-injection harness on this program.
- The OS and HAL are the two board-specific layers and were heavily modified for this board and this SoC; the client, server, dashboard, and Python SDK were configured to the client's requirements, but their core structure and working style remain the same across every board and every industry.



Design

TRANSPORTATION AVIONICS GROUND SYSTEM NXP IMX

Design

The binding constraints: a timing chain the product's credibility rests on, a -20°C flight line, an isolation barrier whose integrity is a safety property, and aircraft-power quality that ranges from lab-clean to generator-cart noisy.

- Validation OS design — read-only rootfs, A/B partitions with rollback; boot optimized under 20 seconds because a portable test set that boots slowly gets left in the truck — the trade-off was dropping debug tooling from the image, recovered via an on-demand overlay. The M7 runs FreeRTOS with a static schedule matched to the ARINC frame timing.

Design

- HAL design — partitioned by schematic sheet against ICD clauses; ARINC receive and discrete edges are interrupt-driven with M7 hardware timestamping since polling would destroy the timing evidence. A babbling channel can storm the M7, so per-channel rate limiting is built into the capture path.
- Client design — origin timestamps disciplined to the IRIG-B-locked clock when available, free-running otherwise, with the discipline state recorded — so no record ever claims a timebase it did not have; on power loss the client flushes raw records first, trading shutdown grace for complete evidence.

Design

- Server design — three-timestamp causality orders a 28 V transient against the discrete misreads it caused; retention sized for 96-hour full-traffic runs on three boards; deployment on the client's isolated lab network, cross-site sync configured off.
- Dashboard design — per-channel ARINC error counters, discrete-state matrices in both conventions, IRIG lock status, and isolation-barrier telemetry are first-class views — what an avionics verification engineer triages first; run health and drop counts on every screen.
- SDK design — the client scripted the acceptance gate, the noise-injection matrix, and overnight endurance; the direct API path served single-channel bench checks, at the stated cost that those runs generate no records.

Design

- OS and HAL design was board-specific and heavily reworked; the four upper components were configured to this client's verification workflow while their structure and working style stayed constant.



Implementation

TRANSPORTATION AVIONICS GROUND SYSTEM NXP IMX

Implementation

Work went to the OS delta, the HAL, and the avionics-GSE campaigns; the four upper components were configured, not constructed.

- Validation OS — Yocto image for the i.MX: inherited BSP and U-Boot, board device tree covering the ARINC interface logic, discrete banks, IRIG front end, and display, minimal kernel; OTA with A/B rollback; sub-20-second boot; per-build SBOM with CVE tracking; the M7 FreeRTOS image built in the same tree.

Implementation

- HAL — implemented sheet by sheet and bench-verified as written: register interfaces to the ARINC transceivers, M7 DMA capture with hardware timestamps, discrete-bank drivers handling both aircraft conventions, IRIG-B decode path, isolated-channel measurement drivers; the design-package pass surfaced an ICD ambiguity — the ICD defined discrete input polarity as "active" without stating whether active meant 28 V or ground, while the schematic's dividers implied ground/open, a documentation conflict that would have inverted sixteen channels' worth of test results.
- Client — C++ daemon, event and polling paths, discipline-aware timestamping, power-loss-hardened shutdown, gRPC upstream, FlatBuffers to the M7.

Implementation

- Server — telemetry receiver, versioned schema, scheduler, REST API, dashboard hosting, three-board parallelism.
- Dashboard — board provisioning, campaign configuration, live channel and discrete streaming, time-series review, export for airline evaluations, operator attribution.
- SDK — Python bindings, C timing-harness bindings, example scripts, CI wiring firing the acceptance suite on every firmware candidate.
- Board-specific: OS delta and HAL. Configuration: client discipline policy, server deployment posture, dashboard views, SDK harness glue.



Test – IV&V

TRANSPORTATION AVIONICS GROUND SYSTEM NXP IMX

Test – IV&V

Testing ran stack-first, then OS/HAL, then the board across one-shot, long-running, event-based, and monitoring campaigns — with every dashboard-launched run committed to the append-only store.

- Stack self-verification: 100% test coverage of the client, server, dashboard, and Python SDK before it produced evidence about this board.
- OS/HAL verification: A/B boot and rollback exercised, OTA verified end to end, secure boot checked on accept and reject paths.

Test – IV&V

- Bench setup: board cabled to an ARINC bus simulator and programmable 28 V source, IRIG-B generator connected, provisioning from the dashboard — documented, repeatable, under thirty minutes.
- Functional campaign: per-channel ARINC electrical and word suites at 12.5 and 100 kbps, discrete matrices across both conventions and threshold ranges, IRIG-B lock across the amplitude range, RS-422 margin, isolated-channel accuracy against reference, display and eMMC checks.

Test – IV&V

- Compute and memory checks: DDR and OCRAM pattern stress across the -20°C to $+55^{\circ}\text{C}$ span, eMMC integrity through repeated unclean power loss, and mailbox latency characterized across DVFS states — the finding that later shaped the timing-discipline rule.
- Watchdog and reset campaign: induced hangs on both cores confirming watchdog recovery to a defined, visibly failed state — a test set must fail loudly, never report through a wedged timing chain — with the M7's static ARINC schedule verified to restart cleanly after every reset combination.
- Debug posture: JTAG access verified disabled in the fielded configuration, retained on prototypes where it anchored the M7 timing calibration.

Test – IV&V

- One-shot tests as the acceptance gate: one pass/fail per subsystem per firmware candidate.
- Long-running: the 96-hour full-traffic endurance held all eight ARINC channels at rate with snapshots, catching receiver channel 6's threshold drifting with board temperature — a bias-resistor tolerance stack corrected in the freeze layout.
- Event-based: silent runs recording on word errors, discrete misreads, IRIG lock loss, and rail events — this mode caught IRIG-B losing lock at the low end of its specified amplitude range, traced to an input comparator hysteresis choice, fixed before freeze.

Test – IV&V

- **Monitoring:** passive telemetry — rails, temperatures, isolation-barrier leakage, IRIG lock statistics — under representative traffic; the main finding surfaced here: the isolated DC/DC stage's switching noise coupled into the discrete-input dividers, producing false ground/open transitions on four channels whenever the converter ran above 60% load — a layout-level coupling that made the test set misread aircraft discretes on its own power stage's schedule.
- **Instrumentation correlation:** oscilloscope and differential-probe captures anchored the converter-coupling, threshold-drift, and IRIG findings to the records at the electrical level.

Test – IV&V

- Recording rule: every run launched from the dashboard is recorded, always, into the append-only store; the Python SDK can run 100% of what the dashboard can run — module, peripheral, or system level — for quick independent checks, and SDK-launched runs of this kind are not written to the records store. The store is the evidence; the SDK is the bench tool.
- Traceability: requirements traced bidirectionally to tests and records, schemas version-pinned, runs reproducible for airline technical evaluation.



Deliverables

TRANSPORTATION AVIONICS GROUND SYSTEM NXP IMX

Deliverables

The client received the complete platform fitted to this interface board — source, records, procedures, no lock-in.

- Validation OS image with the full Yocto build system, recipes, and per-build SBOM.
- Board-specific BSP and HAL source for every subsystem, maintained against the design package through the freeze.
- Compiled client for the A-core plus the M7 FreeRTOS build.
- Server and dashboard as deployment-ready containers on the client's lab network.

Deliverables

- Complete suites — ARINC electrical and protocol, discrete matrices, IRIG-B, thermal, endurance, power-transient, noise-injection — with recorded prototype baselines.
- Python SDK with documentation, example scripts, timing-harness bindings, and CI integration gating every firmware candidate.
- The append-only records store as client property — the evidence base for airline and MRO evaluations.
- The independent validation report: the converter-to-discrete coupling, the channel-6 threshold drift, the IRIG amplitude finding, the discrete-polarity ICD conflict, metrics, pass/fail, coverage.

Deliverables

- Bench procedures for acceptance and re-runs; full documentation; no lock-in.



Conclusion

TRANSPORTATION AVIONICS GROUND SYSTEM NXP IMX

Conclusion

A test set whose job is reporting the true state of aircraft went to design freeze with its own faults found and fixed: discretes that misread under converter load, a receiver that drifted with temperature, a timing input that quit at the edge of its spec.

- The converter-coupling defect was the expensive one — a test set misreading discretes in the field would have cost airline trust one hangar at a time; it cost a layout edit before freeze, with scope-anchored records behind the finding rather than anyone's assertion.

Conclusion

- The suites gate every firmware drop in CI and carry to the production build with only the HAL delta re-verified. We produce the evidence — the client's assessor and their airline customers judge it.



Lessons Learned

TRANSPORTATION AVIONICS GROUND SYSTEM NXP IMX

Lessons Learned

The engagement sharpened our treatment of measurement-equipment validation, where the board's own accuracy is the product.

- Self-interference campaigns now run early on any board that both generates power and measures signals — the converter-load schedule was the key that unlocked the discrete misreads, and sweeping internal load states is now standard before functional depth; ICD polarity ambiguities on discrete conventions get resolved in document review, where this board's largest potential error lived.

Lessons Learned

- The A-core/M7 timing handoff carried a subtle trap: OCRAM mailbox latency varied with A-core DVFS state, jittering the M7's notion of when a test started by up to 40 μ s — pinning the mailbox path and recording DVFS state with every timing measurement is now standard practice on i.MX timing-critical engagements.

PRODUCT

LET'S TALK

SiliconCentric

EMAIL

sandesh@siliconcentric.com

PHONE

(669) 356-1998

WEB

<https://www.siliconcentric.com>

ADDRESS

San Jose, CA, USA

Avionics Ground System

Transportation Avionics Ground System Nxp Imx

Built by SiliconCentric

SiliconCentric